

## Public - Combined Urban Design

### Competition for Revitalization of the Černá louka („Black Meadow“) Area in Ostrava

#### REPORT

On Check of the Competition Proposals' Binding Formal Requirements

1. The check had been undertaken on 10–11 June 2010 in the premises of „Ostravské výstavy a.s.“, conference hall NA 3.
2. The check had been performed by Ing. Jiří Kubát – „the Competition Proposals Reviewer“ (see subs. 1.5 of the Competition Terms and Conditions). Bc. Aleš Rotter and Bc. Petr Opěla, students of Technická univerzita (Technical University) in Ostrava had attended the check as the reviewer's assistants.
3. 70 proposals had been submitted for review. Our check was based on subsections 6.1., 6.2., 6.3., 6.4, 7.1., 7.2., 7.3. and 8.1. of the Competition Terms and Conditions.
4. All submitted proposals had been unpacked, the binding requirements were checked and all proposals were numbered. Finally all proposals were packed back again and stamped over the seal in order to prevent any unpacking thereof in the period between the check and Jury meeting.
5. Our check had brought out the following defects contradicting the Competition Terms and Conditions:

**Proposal No. 1** – missed the designation “Author” on respective envelope.

**Proposal No. 7** – CD carrier in an unsealed envelope, without the distinguishing frame.

**Proposal No. 18** - CD carrier in an unsealed envelope.

**Proposal No. 22** – CD carrier in an unsealed envelope.

**Proposal No. 27** – the wrap showed the compiler (FOA – Foreign Office Architects), the panels showed the compiler (FOA) as well, the distinguishing frames on the panels were missing, the envelopes missed the designation “Author”, the text section and CD carrier missed the distinguishing frame.

**Proposal No. 31** – the distinguishing frame in the text part and CD carrier was missing, no “Author” on the envelope

**Proposal No. 34** – there were two unmarked envelopes – impossible to guess which contains the text part and which the “Author”. The only guide might be the schedules numbering.

**Proposal No. 35** – drawings are with no back and folded, the text part misses the distinguishing frame.

**Proposal No. 36** – frames on drawings were on black background, we used white stickers.

**Proposal No. 47** – CD carrier in an unsealed envelope.

**Proposal No. 48** – CD carrier in an unsealed envelope.

**Proposal No. 59** – the wider relations drawing in other than required scale, other drawings were missing or not with the required contents, reasoning was included in the text part.

**Proposal No. 64** – urban section was on the visualisation drawing, i.e. in other than required place.

**Proposal No. 65** – text part, CD carrier and the “Author” envelope without distinguishing frames.

**Proposal No. 67** – proposal delivered to the SPP office on 31 May 2010 at 12.20 p.m.; in the Czech Chamber of Architects office it was at 11.45 a.m. already.

**Proposal No. 68** – proposal delivered to the SPP office on 31 May 2010 at 1.25 p.m. The CD carrier was not included, delivered later. In the check the CD was added to the only proposal that missed it.

**Proposal No. 69** – proposal delivered to the SPP office on 1 June 2010.

**Proposal No. 70** – proposal delivered to the SPP office on 3 June 2010, but it had reached the Czech Chamber of Architects office on 27 May 2010 already. The proposal missed the text part, the CD carrier missed the inscription CD, the inscription “Author” was missing.

Altogether **18 competition proposals** showed minor or larger defects to be assessed by the Competition Jury.

6. No formal defects have been found with the following **52 competition proposals**:

Proposals Nos.: **2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 63, 66.**

7. All proposals have been deposited in the premises of Ostravské výstavy a.s., conference hall NA3. The keys have been deposited with Ing. Dan Tyleček, Assistant Manager of the said company.

Ostrava, this 11 June 2010

Ing. Jiří Kubát

Competition Proposals Reviewer